UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,165	08/04/2006	Karl Heinz Schmid	C 2869 PCT/US	9073
23657 FOX ROTHSC	7590 12/27/201 HILD LLP	EXAMINER		
997 Lenox Driv	e, Bldg. #3	VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A		
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@foxrothschild.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Ashieu Ocument	10/553,165	SCHMID ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	1619			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 C</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-36 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-16,18-29,31,32 and 34-36 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ition is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of amendment and remarks filed on 10/8/10. Claims 17, 30 and 33 has been cancelled as per applicants' amendment dated 10/8/10. Claims 14-16, 18-29, 31-32 and 34-36 are pending in the application.

In view of the amendment, the rejection of claims 17 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is hereby withdrawn.

In view of the amendment, the rejection of claims 14-18, 23-26 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent 6,464, 967 ('967) is hereby withdrawn. In view of the amendment, the rejection of claims 14, 19 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent 6,133,209 ('209) is hereby withdrawn. In view of the amendment, the rejection of claims 14-16, 20-21and 36 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent 3,806,470 ('470) is hereby withdrawn. In view of the amendment, the rejection of claims 14-18 and 23-36 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over the combination of U. S. Patent 4,919, 934 ('934) and 6,464, 967 ('967) is hereby withdrawn.

The following new grounds of rejection are necessitated by the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 14-16, 18-29, 31-32 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

Page 3

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is new matter rejection.

To overcome the 112, second paragraph rejection claims have been amended to include that the cosmetic composition has "hydrogenated branched oligo- α - olefin and the branched oligo- α - olefin being obtained by oligomerization of either (a) or (b) or (c) ". Applicants' point out support to claims 17, 30 and 33 and also to "through out the specification".

There is no support in the specification for hydrogenated branched oligo - α - olefin being obtained by oligomerization of (a or b or -c) using Lewis acid catalyst.

Note that claims 17, 30 and 33 recite that the branched oligo alpha olefin resulting from oligomerization is subsequently hydrogenated.

Claims 14-16, 18-29, 31-32 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims are ambiguous since hydrogenated <u>branched oligo - α - olefin</u> are not obtained by oligomerization of either a or b or c in presence of Lewis acid catalyst. If it is hydrogenated then the compound can not be hydrogenated branched <u>oligo - α - olefin</u>.

Are all the double bonds are hydrogenated if the olefins are mixtures? What are the hydrogenate products? Claims are convoluted and unclear as to applicants' intent and does not comply with 112, second paragraph.

Claims 20-21 and 32 lacks antecedent basis since there is no provision for methyl being present at the branch point of oligo alpha olefin.

Art Unit: 1619

In view of the amendment claim 22 lacks antecedent basis. Claim 22 does not belong to either (a) or (b) or (c).

Applicants' are requested to provide the examiner the names of hydrogenated products of examples 1-7. Compare example 8 to examples 1-7. Examples 8 describes the hydrogenated product of 2-ethyl-1-hexene.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30-7:30:1st Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,165 Page 5

Art Unit: 1619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EYLER YVONNE (BONNIE) can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619